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About this survey

- This report presents results from the 2006 survey of campers in the LLYK Field Unit.
  - Respondents were randomly selected from the following campgrounds:
    - Kicking Horse
    - Lake Louise Tent and Trailer areas
    - Redstreak
- The survey’s objectives were to:
  - Determine satisfaction with the camping experience.

The survey’s objectives were to:
- Determine satisfaction with the camping experience.
- Kicking Horse and Lake Louise distributed paper questionnaires.
- Red Streak did personal interviews only.
- Interpreting results:
  - Campers were randomly selected from the population of all campers who stayed at the campground during the survey period.
  - The analysis assumes a response that is representative of all campers in each campground during the survey period and all responses are weighted to represent the population of occupied sites in the three campgrounds during the survey period.
  - There is a degree of error associated with samples that is based on the sample size in relation to the population. This is referred to as the “margin of error” (for example, with a margin of error of ±5%, if 42% of the respondents answered yes to a question, the true value should lie between 37% and 47%).
  - The margin of error for each campground is provided below based on a confidence interval of 95%. The confidence interval refers to how confident we can be that the true value lies within the range of values associated with the margin of error. In this example, we are 95% confident the true value lies between 37% and 47%.
  - Overall, the 1194 returned questionnaires have an associated margin of error of ±3.3% when representing the 42,072 occupied sites during the survey period.

### Surveys distributed, valid responses, response rate, and margin of error

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campground</th>
<th>Surveys Distributed</th>
<th>Valid Responses</th>
<th>Response Rate</th>
<th>Margin of Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kicking Horse</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>± 4.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Louise</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>± 5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redstreak</td>
<td>413</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>± 4.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measuring satisfaction

- Respondents rate their satisfaction with the campground’s facilities and services
  - on a scale from 1 to 5, where 1 means ‘not at all satisfied’ and 5 means ‘very satisfied’
  - full results are presented in the appendices

- This report uses three measures of visitor satisfaction:
  - mean (average) scores are described as either:
    - **very good** = 4.00 or higher
    - **may need attention** = below 4.00
  - taken alone, averages do not reveal the proportion of campers who are **completely satisfied** nor those who are **dissatisfied**, so two other measures are included
  - “top box” scores suggest the proportion of **completely satisfied clients**
    - research in consumer behaviour has shown that totally satisfied respondents (top box) have a very low likelihood of switching to an alternative product or service, whereas those rating 4 or lower have a significantly higher propensity to switch.
    - the Parks Canada standard for a well-run facility or service is having 50% of respondents choose the top box
  - “low box” scores, on the other hand, suggest the proportion of **dissatisfied clients**
    - this report considers scores of 1, 2 or 3 out of 5 to be low box scores
    - any facility or service where **more than 15%** of the campers chose the “low boxes” may warrant management attention
    - the Parks Canada standard for a well-run facility or service is having 85% of respondents choose the top two boxes (4.5 and 5/5)
      - this standard has been restated for these reports as the proportion scoring in the low-boxes to more clearly identify the measure being used and to avoid confusing explanations of the results

- This report uses a traffic light system to summarise the three satisfaction measures

- The green light indicates **high satisfaction** (all three measures above the thresholds described above)
- The amber light indicates **good satisfaction** (one of the three measures failed to meet the thresholds)
- The red light indicates **low satisfaction** (two or three of the measures failed to meet the thresholds)
Overall summary of camper satisfaction

- Overall, satisfaction with the ‘overall camping experience’ just meets the threshold of 50%
  - The mean average for all satisfaction items is higher due to the scores at Redstreak where personal interviews were used instead of a paper questionnaire
  - detailed results for each campground are presented in the following pages, full results are presented in the Appendix
- The satisfaction scores are very similar to last year’s score’s
- Another important measure of quality service is the likelihood of campers recommending the campground to their friends and/or family
  - all three campgrounds varied dramatically with ‘very likely to recommend
    - Lake Louise (40%), Kicking Horse (50%), Redstreak (79%)

Areas of Higher Satisfaction

- Friendliness and Courtesy of Kiosk Staff
  - this item received the highest proportion of satisfaction ratings of 5/5 (and the highest mean score) in all three campgrounds
- Feeling of Safety and Security
  - unchanged from 2004 and 2005, this item received a ‘green light’ rating in all three campgrounds
- Cleanliness of Campsite
  - this receives a ‘green light’ rating in all three campgrounds

Areas of Lower Satisfaction

- Condition of facilities
  - this item received the lowest satisfaction scores in all campgrounds, but there were small increases in Lake Louise and Redstreak
  - this item also scores lower in LLYK than in all other participating Field Units (Banff, Jasper, and Prince Albert)
- Cleanliness of washrooms
  - a ‘red light’ item in all campgrounds, with the exception of Redstreak
- The overall camping experience
  - ‘red light’ item in both Kicking Horse and Lake Louise and a ‘green light’ in Redstreak
  - again, use caution when considering Redstreak’s ratings due to the artificial inflation due to personal interviews
The satisfaction scores resulting in the ‘red light’ for ‘cleanliness of washrooms’ were consistent with the results from all Field Units (Banff, Jasper, and Prince Albert).

Satisfaction scores for the ‘condition of facilities’ were noticeably lower in LLYK than in the other Field Units.

Campers’ satisfaction with the ‘overall camping experience’ was a bit higher in LLYK than in other three Field Units.

- use caution due to inflated Redstreak scores

### Campground Satisfaction Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campground Satisfaction Items</th>
<th>LLYK</th>
<th>Other Field Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of scenery</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of facilities</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of campsite</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of washrooms</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling of safety and security</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendliness and courtesy of kiosk staff</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your overall camping experience</strong></td>
<td>○</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Legend

- ○ ○ ● = **high** satisfaction (3/3 measures above thresholds)
- ○ ○ ○ = **good** satisfaction (1/3 failed to meet thresholds)
- ● ○ ○ = **low** satisfaction (2 or 3 measures fail to meet thresholds)
Most of the satisfaction items experienced only small changes compared to the Field Unit scores from 2004.

While also receiving a ‘red light’ rating in 2004 and 2005, campers’ satisfaction with the ‘condition of facilities’ continues to be much lower than other FU’s.
  - Only 37% of campers indicated that they were ‘very satisfied’ with this item.
  - Consistent with last year, low-box scores continue to be lower than average, with 25% of campers rating their satisfaction as 1, 2, or 3 out of 5.
  - Rated their satisfaction with this item.

Satisfaction with the ‘overall camping experience’ remain the same across the Field Unit.
  - This item would likely continue to receive a yellow light rating if not for the inflated Redstreak scores.

### Satisfaction at-a-glance: LLYK Campgrounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campground Satisfaction Items</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of scenery</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of facilities</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of campsite</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of washrooms</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling of safety and security</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendliness and courtesy of kiosk staff</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your overall camping experience</td>
<td>🟢</td>
<td>🟢</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Legend
- 🟢 = high satisfaction (3/3 measures above thresholds)
- 🟢 = good satisfaction (1/3 failed to meet thresholds)
- ⚫ = low satisfaction (2 or 3 measures fail to meet thresholds)
The ‘friendliness and courtesy of kiosk staff’ and the ‘quality of scenery’ remain the items receiving the highest satisfaction rating from campers.

Satisfaction with the ‘cleanliness of campsite’ and ‘feeling of safety and security’ had a slight increase over 2005’s results.

### Campground Satisfaction Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of scenery</td>
<td>○●●</td>
<td>○●●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of facilities</td>
<td>●●●</td>
<td>●●●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of campsite</td>
<td>○●●</td>
<td>○●●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of washrooms</td>
<td>●●●</td>
<td>●●●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling of safety and security</td>
<td>○●●</td>
<td>○●●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendliness and courtesy of kiosk staff</td>
<td>○●●</td>
<td>○●●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your overall camping experience</td>
<td>○●●</td>
<td>○●●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend**

○ ○ ○ = high satisfaction (3/3 measures above thresholds)
○ ● ○ = good satisfaction (1/3 failed to meet thresholds)
● ● ● = low satisfaction (2 or 3 measures fail to meet thresholds)
The satisfaction scores for the ‘friendliness and courtesy of kiosk staff’ decreased from 2005 (80% to 72%).

Satisfaction with ‘quality of scenery’ received a ‘yellow light’ rating, reflecting a relatively high (17%) proportion of low-box (1, 2, or 3 out of 5) scores — this is the second year in a row this item has received a ‘yellow light’ rating in any campground satisfaction survey.

The analysis of previous results suggests that satisfaction with the ‘quality of scenery’ is highly correlated with satisfaction with the ‘overall camping experience’ — earlier research has concluded that it would be difficult to receive a ‘green light’ rating for satisfaction with the ‘overall camping experience’ if satisfaction with the ‘quality of scenery’ does not receive a ‘green light’ rating.

### Campground Satisfaction Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of scenery</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of facilities</td>
<td>● ○ ○</td>
<td>● ○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of campsite</td>
<td>○ ○ ●</td>
<td>○ ○ ●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of washrooms</td>
<td>● ○ ○</td>
<td>● ○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling of safety and security</td>
<td>○ ○ ●</td>
<td>○ ○ ●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendliness and courtesy of kiosk staff</td>
<td>○ ○ ●</td>
<td>○ ○ ●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend**

- ○ ○ ● = **high** satisfaction (3/3 measures above thresholds)
- ○ ● ○ = **good** satisfaction (1/3 failed to meet thresholds)
- ● ● ● = **low** satisfaction (2 or 3 measures fail to meet thresholds)
Satisfaction at-a-glance: Redstreak

- Redstreak received the most consistently high satisfaction scores of any of the three campgrounds in LLYK.
- Again, personal interviews were conducted at this campground and as research shows, personal interviews yield higher top line results.
- All items at Redstreak had in increase in top box scores over the 2005 results - that were collected by a paper questionnaire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campground Satisfaction Items</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of scenery*</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of facilities</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of campsite</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of washrooms</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling of safety and security</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendliness and courtesy of kiosk staff</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Your overall camping experience                      | ○ ○ ○| ○ ○  |

*Not asked in 2006

Legend
○ ○ ○ = **high** satisfaction (3/3 measures above thresholds)
○ ○ ○ = **good** satisfaction (1/3 failed to meet thresholds)
○ ○ ○ = **low** satisfaction (2 or 3 measures fail to meet thresholds)
Satisfaction At-a-glance: Recommend to friends/family

- Beginning with the 2004 version of the questionnaire, campers were asked about the likelihood that they would recommend this campground to their friends and/or family
  - analysis of the results from 2004 highlighted that the reported likelihood to recommend was strongly related to satisfaction with the overall camping experience
- Existing research points to the importance of high reported likelihood of recommending a service/facility (5/5) as an indicator of overall satisfaction
  - 57% of campers in the three LLYK campgrounds responded that they would be very likely to recommend this campground to their friends and/or family

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all Likely to Recommend</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would you recommend this campground to your friends/family?</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- There was some difference by campground, overall the high likelihood that campers would recommend the campground to friends and/or family can be seen as another indication of their overall satisfaction with their camping experience if they are willing to recommend this place to those who matter the most to them

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all Likely to Recommend</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kicking Horse</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Louise</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redstreak</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Campers can be divided into four origin groups
- Alberta 20%
- BC 10%
- Other Canada 13%
- USA 17%
- Other International 40%

There was a high percentage of campers from Germany, Netherlands, and Switzerland

The majority of campers have a passport for international travel
- Kicking Horse (83%)
- Redstreak (66%)

Of the American visitors
- 84% of Kicking Horse campers had passports and 75% of Redstreak campers

*Responses are weighted to represent the population of 17,712 eligible camping parties during the survey period. A discussion of this weighting appears in the Appendix.
The majority of campers at Kicking Horse and Redstreak use tents and Lake Louise campers motorhomes.

- Tents are the most frequently used shelter by all Canadian groups and Americans (Alberta 43%, B.C. 55%, other Canadians 42%)
- Overseas visitors mostly use motorhomes (54%)

### Visitor profiles: Camping Shelter

**Tents are the most common form of shelter overall**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Camping Unit Used by Campground</th>
<th>Lake Louise</th>
<th>Kicking Horse</th>
<th>Redstreak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tent</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorhome</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large trailer/5th wheel</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck camper/van/car</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small/tent trailer</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The average camping party size is 2.9 people.

The average party sizes are presented in the adjacent table as they allow us to estimate the number of people in a campground on any particular night for which the site count is known.

More than half of Alberta’s visitors have stayed in these campgrounds before (64%)
- 73% of Albertans have stayed at Redstreak
- 63% of Albertans have stayed at Kicking Horse before
- 50% of Albertans have stayed at Lake Louise before

62% of campers reported they would stay at this campground again.

**Visitor profiles: Party Size & Previous Visits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Party Size by Campground</th>
<th>Average Party Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake Louise</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kicking Horse</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redstreak</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Most are camping here for the first time...**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First time</th>
<th>Have camped here before</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>74%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Of the returnees, over half have camped here in the last two years...**

- 42% have camped 0 times
- 24% have camped 1 time
- 22% have camped 2 times
- 12% have camped 3+ times
The average length of stay in all campgrounds is 2.4 nights.

More than one-third of the visitors stay for one night only:
- More campers stay 4+ nights at Redstreak (47%) than Kicking Horse (13%) and Lake Louise (12%).

The average length of stay varies slightly by campground:
- Lake Louise: 2.1 nights
- Kicking Horse: 2.1 nights
- Redstreak: 4.2 nights

And by visitor origin:
- Other Canada: 2.1 nights
- Alberta: 3.5 nights
- British Columbia: 2.4 nights
- U.S.A.: 2.5 nights
- Overseas: 1.2 nights

One night is the most frequent length of stay...
22% of campers staying at Lake Louise indicated that they had made a reservation for their current visit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Why did you not make a reservation?</th>
<th>Did not make a reservation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not travel following a planned itinerary</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last minute trip/Decision to stop</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not know about the service</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not think a reservation would be necessary for this campground</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservation fee too high</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not like to make reservations</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bar chart showing 78% response for why did you not make a reservation?
Electric Fence

- Overall, more than half of the campers at Lake Louise said the electric fence had no effect on their camping experience
  - Among the remaining 49%, the overwhelming majority indicated that the electric fence had a positive effect
  - Only 3% of all campers indicated that the electric fence had a negative impact on their camping experience

- It would appear that the electric fence had a greater negative impact on tenters as almost two-thirds (62%) of the reports of a negative impact on their experience came from the 30% of the campers who used tents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did the electric fence effect your camping experience?</th>
<th>Very negative</th>
<th>-1</th>
<th>No effect</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>Very Positive</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendices

1. Detailed Results
2. The Questionnaire
3. Sample Weighting
## Appendix 1: Detailed Results

**LLYK Campgrounds**  
(results are weighted to represent all occupied sites between June 25 and September 5, 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LLYK Campgrounds</th>
<th>Not at all Satisfied</th>
<th>Very Satisfied</th>
<th>42,072 Total Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of scenery</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of facilities</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of campsite</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of washrooms</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling of safety and security</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendliness and courtesy of kiosk staff</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your overall camping experience</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1: Detailed Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kicking Horse</th>
<th>Not at all Satisfied</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Very Satisfied</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of scenery</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of facilities</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>405</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of campsite</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of washrooms</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling of safety and security</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendliness and courtesy of kiosk staff</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>405</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your overall camping experience</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 1: Detailed Results

### Lake Louise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not at all Satisfied</th>
<th>Very Satisfied</th>
<th>357 Total Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of scenery</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition of facilities</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of campsite</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleanliness of washrooms</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling of safety and security</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendliness ad courtesy of kiosk staff</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your overall camping experience</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 1: Detailed Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Redstreak</th>
<th>Condition of facilities</th>
<th>Cleanliness of campsite</th>
<th>Cleanliness of washrooms</th>
<th>Feeling of safety and security</th>
<th>Friendliness ad courtesy of kiosk staff</th>
<th>Your overall camping experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all Satisfied</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cases</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Number of Responses

- Condition of facilities: 406
- Cleanliness of campsite: 413
- Cleanliness of washrooms: 379
- Feeling of safety and security: 410
- Friendliness ad courtesy of kiosk staff: 394
- Your overall camping experience: 412
Appendix 2: 2006 Questionnaires

Welcome to Lake Louise Campground! Our goal is to provide you with the highest quality of services and programs. Please complete this form before you leave using the pencil provided. Please return the form to the campground host.

1. Where is your permanent place of residence?
   - Alberta
   - British Columbia
   - United States
   - Other Canada
   - Other Country

2. What type of pass are you using for this visit?
   - No pass
   - Day pass (or multiple single-day passes)
   - Annual National Parks Pass
   - Resident Pass

3. How many nights did you stay at this campground during this visit to the park?
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5
   - 6
   - 7
   - 8
   - 9
   - 10
   - 11
   - 12
   - 13
   - 14
   - 15
   - 16
   - 17
   - 18
   - 19
   - 20
   - 21
   - 22
   - 23
   - 24
   - 25
   - 26
   - 27
   - 28
   - 29
   - 30
   - 31
   - 32
   - 33
   - 34
   - 35
   - 36
   - 37
   - 38
   - 39
   - 40
   - 41
   - 42
   - 43
   - 44
   - 45
   - 46
   - 47
   - 48
   - 49
   - 50
   - 51
   - 52
   - 53
   - 54
   - 55
   - 56
   - 57
   - 58
   - 59
   - 60
   - 61
   - 62
   - 63
   - 64
   - 65
   - 66
   - 67
   - 68
   - 69
   - 70
   - 71
   - 72
   - 73
   - 74
   - 75
   - 76
   - 77
   - 78
   - 79
   - 80
   - 81
   - 82
   - 83
   - 84
   - 85
   - 86
   - 87
   - 88
   - 89
   - 90
   - 91
   - 92
   - 93
   - 94
   - 95
   - 96
   - 97
   - 98
   - 99
   - 100

4. How many people were in your camping party?
   - 1
   - 2
   - 3
   - 4
   - 5
   - 6
   - 7
   - 8
   - 9
   - 10
   - 11
   - 12
   - 13
   - 14
   - 15
   - 16
   - 17
   - 18
   - 19
   - 20
   - 21
   - 22
   - 23
   - 24
   - 25
   - 26
   - 27
   - 28
   - 29
   - 30
   - 31
   - 32
   - 33
   - 34
   - 35
   - 36
   - 37
   - 38
   - 39
   - 40
   - 41
   - 42
   - 43
   - 44
   - 45
   - 46
   - 47
   - 48
   - 49
   - 50
   - 51
   - 52
   - 53
   - 54
   - 55
   - 56
   - 57
   - 58
   - 59
   - 60
   - 61
   - 62
   - 63
   - 64
   - 65
   - 66
   - 67
   - 68
   - 69
   - 70
   - 71
   - 72
   - 73
   - 74
   - 75
   - 76
   - 77
   - 78
   - 79
   - 80
   - 81
   - 82
   - 83
   - 84
   - 85
   - 86
   - 87
   - 88
   - 89
   - 90
   - 91
   - 92
   - 93
   - 94
   - 95
   - 96
   - 97
   - 98
   - 99
   - 100

5. Which of the following did you use at this campground on this trip? (Shade all that apply)
   - Tent
   - Tent trailer
   - Truck camper or van
   - Motorhome
   - Travel trailer/5th wheel
   - Other

Thank you for replying to this survey!

If you are willing to participate in future Parks Canada research projects, please provide your name and telephone number (North American residents only). Your information will be retained until 2009 and will only be used to contact you about research projects, in accordance with Canada's Privacy Legislation.

First Name

Last Name

Phone

Thank you for replying to this survey!

If you are willing to participate in future Parks Canada research projects, please provide your name and telephone number (North American residents only). Your information will be retained until 2009 and will only be used to contact you about research projects, in accordance with Canada's Privacy Legislation.

First Name

Last Name

Phone

Thank you for replying to this survey!

If you are willing to participate in future Parks Canada research projects, please provide your name and telephone number (North American residents only). Your information will be retained until 2009 and will only be used to contact you about research projects, in accordance with Canada's Privacy Legislation.

First Name

Last Name

Phone
Appendix 3: Sample Weighting

- The results of this survey have been weighted up to the population of campers who camped within the LLYK Field Unit during the survey period (July 1 to August 31).

- This population was defined by taking the total number of occupied sites (42,072) during this period:
  - Lake Louise: 23,687
  - Kicking Horse: 8,505
  - Redstreak: 9,880

- Dividing these numbers by the average length of stay reported by campers in each campground:
  - Lake Louise: 2.47 nights (26% with reservation) 2.00 nights (74% without reservation)
  - Kicking Horse: 2.09 nights
  - Redstreak: 4.15 nights

- To produce the estimated population of camping parties (17,712):
  - Lake Louise: 2,435 camping parties (with reservation) 8,837 camping parties (without reservation)
  - Kicking Horse: 4,069 camping parties
  - Redstreak: 2,381 camping parties

- Valid responses (1,194) were weighted by campground so that the Field Unit’s results presented in this report would reflect the entire population of occupied sites in these three campgrounds during this period:
  - Lake Louise: 95 questionnaires 25.628 weight factor 262 questionnaires 33.728 weight factor
  - Kicking Horse: 424 questionnaires 9.598 weight factor
  - Redstreak: 413 interviews 5.766 weight factor